



IRS AUDIT TECHNIQUES GUIDE-ALIGNED METHODOLOGY

# Engineering & Tax Classification Report


COST SEGREGATION ANALYSIS

SUBJECT PROPERTY

## 10280 E Via Linda

Scottsdale, AZ 85258

SHORT-TERM RENTAL PROPERTY



ACCELERATED RECLASSIFICATION

# \$180,314

26.3% OF \$685,900 DEPRECIABLE BASIS

PREPARED FOR

Sample Report — Scottsdale STR Investor

REPORT

ISSUED MAY 16, 2026  
REPORT NO. CSS-20260516-10870  
REVISION 1.0



VERIFY  
costsegsmart.com/v/23445530  
may 16, 2026

# Table of Contents

---

Engineering Cost Segregation Report

1. Executive Summary

Year 1 Tax Impact Analysis

Allocation Bridge

2. Property Summary
3. Cost Allocation Summary
4. Detailed Component Breakdown
- 4b. Indirect Cost Allocation
- 4c. Reconciliation of Costs
5. Engineering Rationale by Category
6. MACRS Depreciation Schedules
7. NPV Analysis (Illustrative Only)
8. Depreciation Recapture Considerations

Sensitivity Considerations

9. Methodology & Basis of Analysis
10. Legal Authority for Asset Classification
11. Schedule for Fixed Asset Ledger Entry

CPA Review Considerations

12. Conclusion

- A. Appendix A — Cost Derivation Summary
- B. Appendix B — IRS ATG Quality Elements
- C. Appendix C — Revenue Procedure 87-56
- D. Appendix D — Case Law & IRS Rulings
- E. Appendix E — Audit Documentation & Support
- F. Appendix F — Exhibits & Documentation
- G. Appendix G — Disclaimers & Limitations

# Engineering Cost Segregation Report

CSS-WP-010

---

**Date:** May 16, 2026

**To:** Sample Report — Scottsdale STR Investor

**Re:** Cost Segregation Study — 10280 E Via Linda, Scottsdale, AZ 85258

**Report No.:** CSS-20260516-10870

The subject property is a short-term rental property constructed in 2016, comprising approximately 2,800 square feet.

Cost Seg Smart was engaged to perform a detailed cost allocation analysis for this property in accordance with the IRS Cost Segregation Audit Techniques Guide (ATG).

This study utilizes an engineering cost approach using construction cost data, public records, and taxpayer-provided information, together with asset classification guidance under IRC §168, Rev. Proc. 87-56, and relevant case law to identify and reclassify building components from the default 27.5-year Residential Rental Property recovery class into shorter MACRS recovery periods. Component identification was derived from construction class, building age, regional construction standards, county assessor records, and owner-provided documentation.

Our procedures included analysis of property characteristics using owner-provided data and county assessor records; application of industry cost references (RS Means, Marshall Valuation Service) with regional adjustments; component-level classification per IRC §§1245/1250 and Rev. Proc. 87-56; multi-method land valuation; and reconciliation of replacement cost estimates to the taxpayer's depreciable basis.

This study is based upon taxpayer-provided information, county assessor records, aerial and street-level imagery, and industry construction cost databases. This report does not constitute a licensed engineering certification, tax advice, legal advice, or a guarantee of any specific tax outcome. The taxpayer is responsible for verifying property information and consulting with a licensed CPA or tax advisor regarding the application of these results.

Respectfully submitted,

**Cost Seg Smart**

costsegsmart.com

If your CPA has questions about this report, they can reach us directly at [support@costsegsmart.com](mailto:support@costsegsmart.com).

*This report was prepared using Cost Seg Smart's engineering-based cost segregation methodology. All reports undergo multi-stage internal review against the quality elements identified in IRS Pub. 5653 prior to delivery.*

SAMPLE REPORT

# 1. Executive Summary

## Short-Term Rental Property

CSS-WP-100

*The study identified \$180,314 of assets eligible for accelerated depreciation — 26.3% of the property's depreciable basis.*

Cost Seg Smart has completed a detailed cost allocation analysis for the property located at **10280 E Via Linda, Scottsdale, AZ 85258**. This property is classified as Residential Rental Property under IRC §168(e)(2)(A), with a default 27.5-year recovery period under MACRS. This property is operated as a short-term rental. For STR operators who materially participate in the rental activity, accelerated depreciation may offset active income in the year placed in service, subject to IRS material participation rules under IRC §469. The FF&E analysis identifies hospitality-grade furnishings, fixtures, and equipment (where applicable based on STR use) eligible for shorter recovery periods under MACRS.

### Scope of Work

This cost segregation study identifies property components eligible for accelerated depreciation under MACRS (IRC §168). The analysis applies an engineering cost approach using construction cost data, public records, and taxpayer-provided information, consistent with the IRS Cost Segregation Audit Techniques Guide (ATG).

All cost allocations represent estimates derived from construction cost data, property characteristics, and applicable tax guidance. Standard professional disclaimers, including the taxpayer-advisor relationship and the limits of this engineering analysis, are stated in full in the Disclaimers section.

### Reclassification Results

Our analysis identified **\$180,314** (26.3% of depreciable basis) in building systems eligible for reclassification into shorter MACRS recovery periods.

This study identified **\$180,314** of building components eligible for shorter MACRS recovery periods, which may generate a first-year deduction of up to **\$180,314** assuming full expensing of eligible assets under IRC §168(k), as permanently restored for property placed in service on or after January 19, 2025 by Pub. L. 119-21 (the 2025 federal tax legislation), subject to the taxpayer's individual tax circumstances.

### Tax Timing Impact

Under IRC §168(k), bonus depreciation treatment depends on applicable federal tax law for the placed-in-service year. This analysis assumes full expensing of eligible assets for qualified property placed in service in this tax year. Reclassified assets are fully deductible in the year of acquisition.

### Engineering Analysis Summary


Based on the wood frame residential construction typical of 2016-era builds in the Scottsdale, AZ market, our analysis identified component-level allocations consistent with properties of this age, construction class, and quality tier. This short-term rental property includes hospitality-grade furnishings, fixtures, and equipment

(FF&E) classified as 5-year personal property under Rev. Proc. 87-56. FF&E items identified include furniture, electronics, linens, kitchen smallwares, and decorative items subject to accelerated recovery periods.

Scottsdale STR properties commonly include desert pool systems with elevated maintenance FF&E (filtration, chemistry, heating) and UV-resistant exterior finishes. Pool decks and desert-landscape site improvements are 15-year land improvements.

Metric	Value
Purchase Price	\$1,000,000
Land Value (Non-Depreciable)	\$314,100
<b>Total Depreciable Basis</b>	<b>\$685,900</b>
5-Year Personal Property	\$133,731 (19.5%)
15-Year Land Improvements	\$43,147 (6.3%)
27.5-Year Real Property	\$505,586 (73.7%)
<b>Total Accelerated Asset Reclassification</b>	<b>\$180,314 (26.3%)</b>

Depreciable Basis Allocation by MACRS Class



Estimated First-Year Tax Savings (Full Expensing Assumed)

Tax Bracket	First-Year Deduction	Estimated Tax Savings
24%	\$180,314	\$43,275
32%	\$180,314	\$57,700
37%	\$180,314	\$66,716

Replacement Cost New (RCN) estimates were developed using industry construction cost databases and calibrated to the subject property's market-implied improvement value. The resulting cost allocation is reconciled to the taxpayer's depreciable basis of **\$685,900** so that all component-level costs collectively equal the recorded acquisition price less land value, consistent with cost approach methodology described in the IRS Cost Segregation Audit Techniques Guide (ATG). Modeled construction costs closely align with the transaction price, consistent with typical construction cost patterns for this property type and market.

## Industry Benchmarks

This study identified 26.3% accelerated depreciation, which is consistent with the observed market range of 26%-36% for short-term rental properties of this vintage and quality tier.

This allocation profile is consistent with short-term rental properties of comparable size and vintage in the Scottsdale market.

SAMPLE REPORT

# Year 1 Tax Impact Analysis


The following analysis compares first-year depreciation under standard straight-line treatment versus accelerated depreciation with cost segregation and applicable bonus depreciation provisions.

Scenario	Total Year 1 Depreciation (All Classes)
Without Cost Segregation	\$24,942 (straight-line: basis / 27.5)
<b>With Cost Segregation</b>	<b>\$198,699</b> (accelerated + remaining straight-line)
<b>Additional First-Year Benefit</b>	<b>\$173,757</b>

## Year 1 Depreciation Comparison



## Cumulative Depreciation Over Time



*Cost segregation accelerates depreciation into earlier years without changing total lifetime depreciation. The benefit derives from the time value of deductions.*

This analysis accelerates **\$173,757** of additional deductions into Year 1. Total lifetime depreciation remains unchanged; the benefit derives from timing.

## IRS Cost Segregation ATG Compliance

The following scorecard maps each IRS Audit Techniques Guide requirement to how this study satisfies it:

IRS ATG Requirement	How This Study Meets It
<b>Preparation by qualified individual</b>	Engineering cost approach applied using standardized cost data and classification rules
<b>Component identification</b>	52 components individually identified and classified
<b>Cost estimation method</b>	Engineering cost approach using construction cost data
<b>Legal authority citations</b>	IRC §§1245, 1250; Rev. Proc. 87-56; case law (Whiteco, Hospital Corp.)
<b>Reconciliation to basis</b>	All allocations reconcile to depreciable basis (\$0 variance)
<b>Asset classification rationale</b>	Written engineering rationale for each component category
<b>Depreciation schedules</b>	MACRS schedules provided for all recovery periods

*This study is designed to align with the documentation standards described in the IRS Cost Segregation Audit Techniques Guide.*

## Allocation Bridge

### Purchase Price to MACRS Classification

The following table presents a reconciliation of the total acquisition cost of the subject property to its classification under the Modified Accelerated Cost Recovery System (MACRS). This bridge illustrates how the depreciable basis is allocated across asset classes based on the analysis described in this report.

Step	Amount	Notes
<b>Purchase Price</b>	\$1,000,000	Total acquisition cost
Less: Land Value	(\$314,100)	Non-depreciable
<b>Depreciable Basis</b>	<b>\$685,900</b>	<b>Basis subject to depreciation</b>
5-Year Personal Property	\$133,731	Interior finishes, fixtures, removable components
7-Year Property	\$3,436	Equipment and specialized fixtures
15-Year Land Improvements	\$43,147	Site improvements, landscaping, hardscape
27.5-Year Real Property	\$505,586	Structural building components

<b>Total Allocated</b>	<b>\$685,900</b>	<b>Reconciles to depreciable basis</b>
------------------------	------------------	--

All component allocations reconcile exactly to the total depreciable basis of the property.

### Allocation Summary by Class

Asset Class	Amount	% of Basis
5-Year Property	\$133,731	19.5%
7-Year Property	\$3,436	0.5%
15-Year Property	\$43,147	6.3%
27.5-Year Property	\$505,586	73.7%
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$685,900</b>	<b>100.0%</b>

### Where the Depreciation Comes From



In comparable short-term rental properties, personal property and land improvement allocations commonly range from approximately 26% to 36% of depreciable basis, depending on finish quality, renovation scope, and property characteristics. This study identified 26.3% accelerated depreciation.

# CPA Quick-Reference Summary

## Property Facts

Property Address	10280 E Via Linda, Scottsdale, AZ 85258
Property Type	Short-Term Rental
Year Built	2016
Purchase Price	\$1,000,000
Land Value (Non-Depreciable)	\$314,100
Depreciable Basis	<b>\$685,900</b>
Placed in Service	2025-06-15

## Basis Allocation Summary

Asset Class	Amount	% of Basis
5-Year Personal Property	\$133,731	19.5%
7-Year Personal Property	\$3,436	0.5%
15-Year Land Improvements	\$43,147	6.3%
27.5-Year Real Property	\$505,586	73.7%
<b>Total Accelerated Property</b>	<b>\$180,314</b>	<b>26.3%</b>

## Estimated Year-1 Depreciation (100% Bonus)

Asset Class	Accelerated Basis	Bonus Rate	Year-1 Deduction
5-Year Property	\$133,731	100%	\$133,731
7-Year Property	\$3,436	100%	\$3,436
15-Year Property	\$43,147	100%	\$43,147
<b>Total Year-1 Deduction</b>			<b>\$180,314</b>

## Estimated Tax Savings

Tax Bracket	Estimated Tax Savings
-------------	-----------------------

24%	\$43,275
32%	\$57,700
37%	\$66,716

Typical accelerated depreciation ranges for short-term rental properties in the published Benchmarks 2026 dataset (P25–P75) are approximately 26%–36% of depreciable basis. The **26.3%** allocation identified in this study falls within that range.

### Method Summary

- Engineering cost approach using construction cost data and public records
- Allocation based on IRS Cost Segregation Audit Techniques Guide (ATG)
- Asset classifications supported by IRC §168, Rev. Proc. 87-56, and relevant case law

SAMPLE REPORT

# CPA Filing Sheet

**Property:** 10280 E Via Linda, Scottsdale, AZ 85258  
**Placed in Service:** 2025-06-15  
**Total Depreciable Basis:** \$685,900

## Accelerated Asset Schedule

Asset Class	Amount	Recovery Period	Convention	Bonus Eligible
Personal Property	\$133,731	5 years	Half-year	Yes (100%)
Personal Property (7-yr)	\$3,436	7 years	Half-year	Yes (100%)
Land Improvements	\$43,147	15 years	Half-year	Yes (100%)
Building (27.5-yr)	\$505,586	27.5 years	Mid-month	No

## Depreciation Software Entry Instructions

Enter the following assets in your depreciation software (Lacerte, ProSeries, Drake, UltraTax, or equivalent):

### Asset 1 — Personal Property (5-Year)

Basis: \$133,731  
 Life: 5 years | Method: 200% DB | Convention: Half-year  
 Bonus Depreciation: 100%

### Asset 2 — Personal Property (7-Year)

Basis: \$3,436  
 Life: 7 years | Method: 200% DB | Convention: Half-year  
 Bonus Depreciation: 100%

### Asset 3 — Land Improvements (15-Year)

Basis: \$43,147  
 Life: 15 years | Method: 150% DB | Convention: Half-year  
 Bonus Depreciation: 100%

### Asset 4 — Building (27.5-Year)

Basis: \$505,586  
 Life: 27.5 years | Method: Straight-line | Convention: Mid-month  
 Bonus Depreciation: Not eligible

*Note: These entries reflect the reclassification identified in this study. The taxpayer should file Form 3115 (Change in Accounting Method) if the property was placed in service in a prior tax year. Consult with your tax advisor regarding the appropriate filing method.*

SAMPLE REPORT

## 2. Property Summary

CSS-WP-200

Property Detail	Information
Owner	Sample Report — Scottsdale STR Investor
Property Address	10280 E Via Linda, Scottsdale, AZ 85258
Property Type	Short-Term Rental
Date of Acquisition	2025-06-15
Placed in Service	2025-06-15
Purchase Price	\$1,000,000
Building Area	2,800 SF
Year Built	2016
Bedrooms / Bathrooms	4 BR / 3.5 BA
Construction Type	Wood Frame Residential
Property Features	Standard

### Property Classification

This property is classified as **Residential Rental Property** under IRC Section 168(e)(2)(A). The default recovery period for the building structure is **27.5 years** under the General Depreciation System (GDS) of MACRS. Through cost segregation, certain components are reclassified to shorter recovery periods as permitted by the Internal Revenue Code.

### 3. Cost Allocation Summary

CSS-WP-210

*\$180,314 of the property's \$685,900 depreciable basis was reclassified into shorter recovery periods.*

The following table summarizes the allocation of the property's depreciable basis among the various MACRS recovery period categories. Components have been classified based on their function, construction, and relationship to the building per IRS guidelines.

Asset Category	IRS Recovery Period	Allocated Cost	% of Basis
Personal Property	5-Year MACRS	\$133,731	19.5%
Personal Property	7-Year MACRS	\$3,436	0.5%
Land Improvements	15-Year MACRS	\$43,147	6.3%
Real Property (Building)	27.5-Year Straight Line	\$505,586	73.7%
<b>Total Depreciable Basis</b>		<b>\$685,900</b>	<b>100.0%</b>
<b>Total Accelerated (Reclassified)</b>		<b>\$180,314</b>	<b>26.3%</b>

This study identifies shorter-life personal property and land improvements eligible for accelerated depreciation from the property's total depreciable basis. Modeled replacement cost components are reconciled to actual basis so that total allocated costs tie to the taxpayer's transaction price less land. Detailed component support appears in Sections 4 and 5; cost derivation methodology is documented in Appendix A.

#### Key Accelerated Components

The following table summarizes the principal components reclassified to shorter recovery periods. Items below 1% of total accelerated basis are grouped into category subtotals.

Component	Allocated Cost	MACRS Class
Bedroom Furniture (Beds, Dressers, Nightstands)	\$21,474	5-Year
Living Area Furniture (Sofas, Tables, Chairs)	\$18,051	5-Year
Carpet & Pad	\$12,210	5-Year
Appliances	\$11,097	5-Year

Televisions & Electronics	\$8,855	5-Year
Light Fixtures	\$7,831	5-Year
Outdoor Furniture & Accessories	\$7,317	5-Year
Vinyl/Laminate Flooring	\$6,424	5-Year
Removable Kitchen Fixtures	\$5,237	5-Year
Linens, Bedding & Towels	\$5,051	5-Year
Kitchen Smallwares & Cookware	\$4,602	5-Year
Dining Furniture	\$4,376	5-Year
Bathroom Accessories & Fixtures	\$3,007	5-Year
Window Treatments	\$2,720	5-Year
Removable Laminate Surfaces	\$2,311	5-Year
Closet Shelving	\$2,272	5-Year
Door Hardware & Accessories	\$2,012	5-Year
Ceiling Fans	\$1,934	5-Year
<i>Other 5-Year Components (6 items)</i>	\$6,951	5-Year
<b>Subtotal — 5-Year</b>	<b>\$133,731</b>	
Decorative Items & Artwork	\$3,436	7-Year
<b>Subtotal — 7-Year</b>	<b>\$3,436</b>	
Concrete Paving & Walks	\$9,824	15-Year
Wood Deck/Porch	\$7,570	15-Year
Landscaping	\$6,820	15-Year
Fencing	\$5,848	15-Year
Asphalt Paving	\$3,649	15-Year
Exterior Lighting	\$2,483	15-Year
Storm Drainage & Grading	\$2,433	15-Year
Irrigation System	\$2,136	15-Year
Retaining Walls	\$1,908	15-Year

Other 15-Year Components (1 items)	\$475	15-Year
<b>Subtotal — 15-Year</b>	<b>\$43,147</b>	
<b>Total Accelerated (Reclassified)</b>	<b>\$180,314</b>	

### Property Characterization (IRC §1245 / §1250)

Asset Category	IRC Section	Recapture Treatment	Amount
5-Year Personal Property	§1245	Ordinary income recapture	\$133,731
7-Year Personal Property	§1245	Ordinary income recapture	\$3,436
15-Year Land Improvements	§1250	25% unrecaptured §1250 gain	\$43,147
27.5-Year Real Property	§1250	25% unrecaptured §1250 gain	\$505,586

### Tax Impact Analysis

Tax Scenario	Amount
Year 1 Depreciation WITHOUT Cost Segregation	\$24,942
<b>Year 1 Depreciation WITH Cost Segregation + 100% Bonus</b>	<b>\$198,699</b>
<b>Additional First-Year Deduction</b>	<b>\$173,757</b>
Estimated Federal Tax Reduction (37% marginal rate)	<b>\$64,290</b>
Estimated Federal Tax Reduction (32% marginal rate)	\$55,602
Estimated Federal Tax Reduction (24% marginal rate)	\$41,702

*Note: The reclassified asset total (\$180,314) differs from the additional first-year deduction (\$173,757) because these assets would have received \$6,557 in standard straight-line depreciation regardless of cost segregation.*

### First-Year Depreciation Comparison

*Cost segregation increases first-year depreciation by \$173,757, concentrating the majority of tax benefits in Year 1 through bonus depreciation.*



SAMPLE REPORT

## 4. Detailed Component Breakdown

CSS-WP-220

35 components reclassified into accelerated categories; 17 structural components itemized at their default recovery period.

The following tables provide a detailed breakdown of all building components identified in this study, organized by MACRS recovery period. Each component has been individually assessed based on its function, construction type, and applicable IRS asset classification. Direct asset costs and indirect cost allocations are shown separately for full transparency.

### 5-Year Personal Property (IRC Section 1245)

Component	Description	Classification	Method	Asset Cost	Indirect	Total Basis
Carpet & Pad	Interior floor coverings, non-structural	Reg 1.48-1 \$2.5/SF x 0.95 geo	Cost estimate	\$9,838	\$2,460	\$12,210
Vinyl/Laminate Flooring	Non-structural finish flooring	Reg 1.48-1 \$1.4/SF x 0.95 geo	Cost estimate	\$5,176	\$1,294	\$6,424
Removable Kitchen Fixtures	Non-permanent kitchen installations	Reg 1.48-1 \$0.9/SF x 0.95 geo	Cost estimate	\$4,220	\$1,055	\$5,237
Bathroom Accessories & Fixtures	Removable bathroom fittings	Reg 1.48-1 \$0.55/SF x 0.95 geo	Cost estimate	\$2,423	\$606	\$3,007
Removable Laminate Surfaces	Non-permanent decorative surfaces	Reg 1.48-1 \$0.45/SF x 0.95 geo	Cost estimate	\$1,862	\$466	\$2,311
Appliances	Freestanding kitchen equipment	00.11 \$2.3/SF x 0.95 geo	Cost estimate	\$8,942	\$2,235	\$11,097
Window Treatments	Non-permanent window coverings	Reg 1.48-1 \$0.6/SF x 0.95 geo	Cost estimate	\$2,192	\$548	\$2,720
Door Hardware & Accessories	Non-structural door components	Reg 1.48-1 \$0.35/SF x 0.95 geo	Cost estimate	\$1,621	\$405	\$2,012
Light Fixtures	Decorative and specialty lighting	00.11 \$1.45/SF x 0.95 geo	Cost estimate	\$6,310	\$1,577	\$7,831
Ceiling Fans	Removable ventilation fixtures	00.11 \$0.35/SF x 0.95 geo	Cost estimate	\$1,558	\$390	\$1,934
Removable Plumbing Trim	Non-structural plumbing accessories	Reg 1.48-1 \$0.3/SF x 0.95 geo	Cost estimate	\$1,152	\$288	\$1,430
Smoke/CO Detectors	Life safety detection devices	00.11 \$0.1/SF x 0.95 geo	Cost estimate	\$393	\$98	\$488

Closet Shelving	Removable storage systems	Reg 1.48-1 \$0.4/SF x 0.95 geo	Cost estimate	\$1,830	\$458	\$2,272
Decorative Millwork	Non-structural decorative elements	Reg 1.48-1 \$0.25/SF x 0.95 geo	Cost estimate	\$1,075	\$269	\$1,334
Specialty Electrical	Dedicated circuits and specialty wiring	00.12 \$0.3/SF x 0.95 geo	Cost estimate	\$1,320	\$330	\$1,638
Bathroom Hardware	Removable bathroom accessories	Reg 1.48-1 \$0.25/SF x 0.95 geo	Cost estimate	\$949	\$237	\$1,177
Kitchen Hood & Ventilation	Kitchen exhaust equipment	00.11 \$0.2/SF x 0.95 geo	Cost estimate	\$713	\$178	\$885
Bedroom Furniture (Beds, Dressers, Nightstands)	Personal property — shorter recovery	00.11 \$3.8/SF x 0.95 geo	Cost estimate	\$17,303	\$4,326	\$21,474
Living Area Furniture (Sofas, Tables, Chairs)	Personal property — shorter recovery	00.11 \$3.4/SF x 0.95 geo	Cost estimate	\$14,545	\$3,636	\$18,051
Dining Furniture	Personal property — shorter recovery	00.11 \$0.65/SF x 0.95 geo	Cost estimate	\$3,526	\$882	\$4,376
Televisions & Electronics	Personal property — shorter recovery	00.11 \$1.4/SF x 0.95 geo	Cost estimate	\$7,135	\$1,784	\$8,855
Linens, Bedding & Towels	Personal property — shorter recovery	00.11 \$0.85/SF x 0.95 geo	Cost estimate	\$4,070	\$1,017	\$5,051
Kitchen Smallwares & Cookware	Personal property — shorter recovery	00.11 \$0.65/SF x 0.95 geo	Cost estimate	\$3,708	\$927	\$4,602
Outdoor Furniture & Accessories	Personal property — shorter recovery	00.11 \$1.1/SF x 0.95 geo	Cost estimate	\$5,895	\$1,474	\$7,317
<b>Subtotal</b>				<b>\$107,756</b>	<b>\$26,939</b>	<b>\$133,731</b>

### 7-Year Personal Property (IRC Section 1245)

Component	Description	Classification	Method	Asset Cost	Indirect	Total Basis
Decorative Items & Artwork	Equipment — shorter recovery	00.11 \$0.8/SF x 0.95 geo	Cost estimate	\$2,768	\$692	\$3,436
<b>Subtotal</b>				<b>\$2,768</b>	<b>\$692</b>	<b>\$3,436</b>

### 15-Year Land Improvements (IRC Section 1250)

Component	Description	Classification	Method	Asset Cost	Indirect	Total Basis
Concrete Paving & Walks	Exterior hardscape improvements	00.3 \$2.15/SF x 0.95 geo	Cost estimate	\$7,916	\$1,979	\$9,824
Asphalt Paving	Exterior paved surfaces	00.3 \$0.85/SF x 0.95 geo	Cost estimate	\$2,940	\$735	\$3,649
Fencing	Exterior boundary improvements	00.3 \$1.45/SF x 0.95 geo	Cost estimate	\$4,712	\$1,178	\$5,848
Landscaping	Exterior plantings and grading	00.3 \$1.8/SF x 0.95 geo	Cost estimate	\$5,495	\$1,374	\$6,820
Irrigation System	Landscape watering infrastructure	00.3 \$0.6/SF x 0.95 geo	Cost estimate	\$1,721	\$430	\$2,136
Exterior Lighting	Outdoor illumination fixtures	00.3 \$0.55/SF x 0.95 geo	Cost estimate	\$2,001	\$500	\$2,483
Retaining Walls	Site grade management structure	00.3 \$0.45/SF x 0.95 geo	Cost estimate	\$1,538	\$384	\$1,908
Wood Deck/Porch	Exterior recreational structure	00.3 \$1.9/SF x 0.95 geo	Cost estimate	\$6,100	\$1,525	\$7,570
Storm Drainage & Grading	Site water management	00.3 \$0.65/SF x 0.95 geo	Cost estimate	\$1,961	\$490	\$2,433
Mailbox & Site Accessories	Exterior site amenities	00.3 \$0.1/SF x 0.95 geo	Cost estimate	\$382	\$96	\$475
<b>Subtotal</b>				<b>\$34,766</b>	<b>\$8,692</b>	<b>\$43,147</b>

### 27.5-Year Real Property (IRC Section 1250)

The following components constitute the structural building envelope and core building systems that remain classified as residential rental property under IRC §168(e)(2)(A). These components — including foundation, framing, roofing, exterior envelope, core HVAC, plumbing, and electrical distribution — are inherently permanent and integral to the building's operation. They are depreciated over 27.5 years using the straight-line method.

Component	Description	Classification	Method	Asset Cost	Indirect	Total Basis
Foundation	Structural building foundation	N/A \$12.75/SF x 0.95 geo	Cost estimate	\$39,731	\$9,933	\$49,308
Framing	Structural wood/steel framing	N/A \$21.5/SF x 0.95 geo	Cost estimate	\$62,943	\$15,736	\$78,115
Roofing	Structural roof system	N/A \$10.1/SF x 0.95 geo	Cost estimate	\$27,779	\$6,945	\$34,475

Exterior Walls & Siding	Building envelope	N/A \$11.25/SF x 0.95 geo	Cost estimate	\$29,069	\$7,267	\$36,077
Windows & Exterior Doors	Building envelope openings	N/A \$7.75/SF x 0.95 geo	Cost estimate	\$25,396	\$6,349	\$31,517
Insulation	Thermal building envelope	N/A \$4.2/SF x 0.95 geo	Cost estimate	\$12,930	\$3,232	\$16,047
Drywall & Finishing	Interior wall surfaces	N/A \$9.7/SF x 0.95 geo	Cost estimate	\$30,556	\$7,639	\$37,922
Interior Paint	Interior wall finishes	N/A \$3.3/SF x 0.95 geo	Cost estimate	\$9,766	\$2,442	\$12,120
Kitchen Cabinets (Built-in)	Permanently affixed cabinetry	N/A \$3.55/SF x 0.95 geo	Cost estimate	\$9,870	\$2,468	\$12,250
Bathroom Vanities (Built-in)	Permanently affixed vanities	N/A \$1.0/SF x 0.95 geo	Cost estimate	\$3,526	\$881	\$4,376
Interior Doors	Structural interior partitions	N/A \$1.25/SF x 0.95 geo	Cost estimate	\$3,802	\$950	\$4,718
Tile Flooring	Permanent floor surfaces	N/A \$5.05/SF x 0.95 geo	Cost estimate	\$15,716	\$3,929	\$19,504
Plumbing Systems	Core building plumbing	N/A \$14.6/SF x 0.95 geo	Cost estimate	\$45,019	\$11,255	\$55,871
Electrical Systems	Core building electrical	N/A \$12.65/SF x 0.95 geo	Cost estimate	\$36,645	\$9,161	\$45,479
HVAC Systems	Central heating and cooling	N/A \$10.75/SF x 0.95 geo	Cost estimate	\$39,492	\$9,873	\$49,012
Fire Sprinkler System	Life safety suppression system	N/A \$2.25/SF x 0.95 geo	Cost estimate	\$7,766	\$1,941	\$9,637
Permanently Affixed Countertops	Built-in surface installations	N/A \$2.4/SF x 0.95 geo	Cost estimate	\$7,379	\$1,845	\$9,157
<b>Subtotal</b>				<b>\$407,384</b>	<b>\$101,846</b>	<b>\$505,586</b>

## 4b. Indirect Cost Allocation

Construction costs include both direct costs (labor and materials for individual components) and indirect costs (overhead expenses necessary for the overall construction project). Indirect costs are allocated proportionally across all building components based on their direct cost share, consistent with the IRS Cost Segregation Audit Techniques Guide (ATG) methodology.

Indirect Cost Category	% of Direct Costs	Allocated Amount
Architectural & Engineering Fees	4.0%	\$22,107
General Conditions & Supervision	5.5%	\$30,397
Equipment Rentals & Tools	3.5%	\$19,344
Permits & Inspections	2.0%	\$11,053
Insurance & Bonding	2.5%	\$13,817
Contractor Overhead & Profit	5.0%	\$27,634
Miscellaneous Indirects	2.5%	\$13,817
<b>Total Indirect Costs</b>	<b>25.0%</b>	<b>\$138,169</b>

Total direct costs: \$552,674. Indirect cost rate applied: 25.0% of direct costs. Indirect costs are allocated pro-rata to each component based on its share of total direct costs, consistent with IRS ATG guidance on indirect cost allocation for cost segregation studies.

## 4c. Reconciliation of Costs

The IRS Cost Segregation Audit Techniques Guide requires that estimated costs be reconciled back to actual costs or purchase price. The following reconciliation demonstrates that all allocated costs sum to the property's actual depreciable basis, with no unexplained variance.

### A. Basis Determination

Item	Amount	Notes
Purchase Price / Total Project Cost	\$1,000,000	Per closing statement
Less: Land Value (31.4%)	(\$314,100)	Land allocation per statistical
<b>Depreciable Basis</b>	<b>\$685,900</b>	<b>= Total Project Cost - Land</b>

## B. Cost Segregation Allocation

Asset Category	Recovery Period	Allocated Cost
Non-Depreciable Land	N/A	\$314,100
Personal Property	5-Year MACRS	\$133,731
Personal Property	7-Year MACRS	\$3,436
Land Improvements	15-Year MACRS	\$43,147
Real Property (Building)	27.5-Year Straight Line	\$505,586
<b>Total</b>		<b>\$1,000,000</b>

## C. Reconciliation Verification

Reconciliation Item	Amount
A. Total Project Cost (Purchase Price)	\$1,000,000
B. Total Allocated per Cost Segregation Study	\$1,000,000
<b>Variance (A - B)</b>	<b>\$0</b>

All allocated costs reconcile to the property's total project cost to the penny. No unexplained variance exists between the purchase price and the sum of all cost segregation allocations (land + depreciable components).

## 5. Engineering Rationale by Category

CSS-WP-230

The following narratives describe the engineering basis for reclassifying specific building components from the default recovery period to accelerated MACRS classes. Each classification is supported by IRS guidance, Treasury Regulations, and relevant Tax Court precedent.

### Floor Coverings

Carpet, vinyl plank, and resilient flooring are classified as 5-year personal property per Treas. Reg. 1.48-1(e)(1). These items are not structural components of the building — they are applied finishes routinely replaced on a 5-10 year cycle independent of the building structure. Per the Whiteco six-factor test: (1) flooring can be removed without damage to the building; (2) it is not designed for permanent installation; and (5) removal does not damage the structure. The IRS Cost Segregation ATG (Chapter 7.3.4) specifically identifies carpet and resilient flooring as 5-year property.

## Cabinetry

Removable kitchen fixtures (freestanding islands, range hoods) and closet shelving systems are classified as 5-year personal property. These items are not permanently affixed to the building structure and can be removed without material damage. Built-in cabinets and vanities that are permanently installed and integral to the building remain classified as real property at the standard recovery period. This distinction follows the Whiteco Industries (2003) framework for distinguishing personal from real property.

## Electrical

Decorative light fixtures, ceiling fans, and dedicated branch circuits serving specific equipment are classified as 5-year personal property per Rev. Proc. 87-56 Asset Class 00.11 (Office Furniture & Equipment) or 00.12 (Information Systems). These components serve a specific function independent of the building's core electrical distribution system and can be removed or relocated without affecting building operation. The building's primary electrical infrastructure (service entrance, switchgear, panels, conduit, branch wiring) remains classified as real property. Exterior and landscape lighting systems are classified as 15-year land improvements under IRS Asset Class 00.3. Note: Only electrical components serving a specific, identifiable function independent of the building's general electrical distribution are reclassified. This distinction follows *Hospital Corporation of America v. Commissioner*, 109 T.C. 21 (1997), which established that electrical systems must be evaluated based on whether they serve the building as a whole (real property) or a specific business function (personal property).

## Plumbing Fixtures

Removable bathroom accessories (towel bars, mirrors, medicine cabinets, toilet paper holders) are classified as 5-year personal property. These decorative and functional items can be removed and replaced without affecting the building's plumbing infrastructure. The core plumbing system (supply lines, DWV, water heater) remains classified as real property.

## Site Work

Site improvements including driveways, walkways, fencing, landscaping, irrigation systems, retaining walls, and decking are classified as 15-year land improvements under IRS Asset Class 00.3. Per IRC Section 168(e)(4) and Revenue Procedure 87-56, land improvements are depreciable assets with a 15-year recovery period. These improvements are inherently permanent but are not structural components of the building itself.

## Appliances

Kitchen appliances (range/oven, refrigerator, dishwasher, microwave, garbage disposal) are classified as 5-year personal property per Rev. Proc. 87-56 Asset Class 00.11 and Treas. Reg. 1.48-1(e)(1). These items are freestanding tangible personal property — they connect to building utilities via standard plugs or quick-disconnect fittings and are removed without damage to the structure. The IRS ATG recognizes appliances as personal property regardless of whether they are 'built-in' by appearance, provided they are not permanently affixed and can be replaced without structural modification.

## Finishes

Removable laminate surfaces, decorative non-load-bearing applied moldings, and acoustic treatments are classified as 5-year personal property where they serve a decorative rather than structural function and pass the removability test — they can be detached without material damage to the building structure. Permanently

affixed stone countertops (granite, quartz, marble) attached to cabinetry with adhesive or mechanical fasteners are classified as real property at the standard recovery period. Per the IRS ATG, the distinction turns on permanence of attachment and integration with the building.

**FF&E**

Furniture, fixtures, and equipment (FF&E) in short-term rental properties are classified as 5-year or 7-year personal property per Rev. Proc. 87-56 Asset Class 00.11. STR properties maintain hospitality-grade furnishings (where applicable) including bedroom and living furniture, linens, electronics, and kitchen smallwares. These items are freestanding tangible personal property that serve the rental business activity rather than the building itself, satisfying the Hospital Corporation of America (1997) test for personal property classification. Allocations represent reasonable estimates based on typical furnishing profiles for short-term rental properties of this size and quality tier. Taxpayers may refine these estimates using actual purchase receipts or invoices where available.

*FF&E Substantiation Note: The FF&E values in this study are estimated using per-square-foot cost models calibrated to hospitality-industry furnishing standards, not from a physical inventory or purchase receipts. If the taxpayer maintains itemized FF&E purchase records, invoices, or a furnished-unit inventory list, those actual costs should be substituted for the estimates in this report. Actual cost documentation strengthens the defensibility of FF&E allocations under IRS examination. The taxpayer's CPA should review FF&E totals against available records before filing.*

## 6. MACRS Depreciation Schedules

CSS-WP-300

*With 100% bonus depreciation, the estimated first-year depreciation deduction on reclassified assets is \$180,314.*

The following schedules show the annual depreciation deductions under MACRS for each asset category. The 5-year and 15-year property schedules assume full expensing of eligible assets under IRC §168(k), based on applicable bonus depreciation rates for the placed-in-service year.

### 5-Year Personal Property (with 100% Bonus)

Year	Annual Deduction	Cumulative	Remaining Basis
1	\$133,731	\$133,731	\$0
2	\$0	\$133,731	\$0
3	\$0	\$133,731	\$0
4	\$0	\$133,731	\$0
5	\$0	\$133,731	\$0
6	\$0	\$133,731	\$0

### 7-Year Personal Property (with 100% Bonus)

Year	Annual Deduction	Cumulative	Remaining Basis
1	\$3,436	\$3,436	\$0
2	\$0	\$3,436	\$0
3	\$0	\$3,436	\$0
4	\$0	\$3,436	\$0
5	\$0	\$3,436	\$0
6	\$0	\$3,436	\$0
7	\$0	\$3,436	\$0
8	\$0	\$3,436	\$0

### 15-Year Land Improvements (with 100% Bonus)

Year	Annual Deduction	Cumulative	Remaining Basis
1	\$43,147	\$43,147	\$0
2	\$0	\$43,147	\$0
3	\$0	\$43,147	\$0
4	\$0	\$43,147	\$0
5	\$0	\$43,147	\$0
6	\$0	\$43,147	\$0
7	\$0	\$43,147	\$0
8	\$0	\$43,147	\$0
9	\$0	\$43,147	\$0
10	\$0	\$43,147	\$0
11	\$0	\$43,147	\$0
12	\$0	\$43,147	\$0
13	\$0	\$43,147	\$0
14	\$0	\$43,147	\$0
15	\$0	\$43,147	\$0
16	\$0	\$43,147	\$0

### 27.5-Year Real Property (Straight-Line)

Year	Annual Deduction	Cumulative	Remaining Basis
1	\$9,192	\$9,192	\$496,394
2	\$18,385	\$27,577	\$478,009
3	\$18,385	\$45,962	\$459,624
4	\$18,385	\$64,347	\$441,239
5	\$18,385	\$82,732	\$422,854
6	\$18,385	\$101,117	\$404,469
7	\$18,385	\$119,502	\$386,084
8	\$18,385	\$137,887	\$367,699
9	\$18,385	\$156,272	\$349,314
10	\$18,385	\$174,657	\$330,929
11	\$18,385	\$193,042	\$312,544
12	\$18,385	\$211,427	\$294,159
13	\$18,385	\$229,812	\$275,774
14	\$18,385	\$248,197	\$257,389
15	\$18,385	\$266,582	\$239,004
16	\$18,385	\$284,967	\$220,619
17	\$18,385	\$303,352	\$202,234
18	\$18,385	\$321,737	\$183,850
19	\$18,385	\$340,122	\$165,465
20	\$18,385	\$358,507	\$147,080
21	\$18,385	\$376,891	\$128,695
22	\$18,385	\$395,276	\$110,310
23	\$18,385	\$413,661	\$91,925
24	\$18,385	\$432,046	\$73,540
25	\$18,385	\$450,431	\$55,155
26	\$18,385	\$468,816	\$36,770
27	\$18,385	\$487,201	\$18,385
28	\$9,192	\$496,394	\$9,192

## 8. Depreciation Recapture Considerations

CSS-WP-320

*Cost segregation accelerates when deductions are taken, not how much — total lifetime depreciation remains the same.*

When depreciable property is sold, depreciation deductions previously claimed may be subject to recapture under IRC Sections 1245 and 1250. Cost segregation does not create additional depreciation — it accelerates the timing. Total lifetime depreciation remains \$685,900 regardless of whether cost segregation is applied.

### Recapture Categories

Category	Amount	Recapture Type	Max Rate
5-Year Property & 7-Year Property	\$137,167	IRC §1245 — Ordinary Income	37%
15-Year Property	\$43,147	IRC §1250 — Unrecaptured Gain	25%
27.5-Year Property	\$505,586	IRC §1250 — Unrecaptured Gain	25%

### Key Considerations

Depreciation recapture applies only to the extent of actual gain realized upon sale. If the property is sold at or below adjusted basis, no recapture tax is owed. Section 1245 property (5-year and 7-year personal property) is recaptured as ordinary income up to the amount of depreciation claimed. Section 1250 property (15-year land improvements and 27.5-year real property) is subject to a maximum 25% rate on unrecaptured depreciation under IRC §1(h)(1)(E).

The economic benefit of cost segregation derives from the time value of money: deductions taken earlier are worth more than deductions taken later, even after accounting for recapture at disposition. The NPV analysis in Section 7 quantifies this timing benefit. Actual recapture liability depends on the sale price, adjusted basis, and the taxpayer's marginal rate at the time of sale. We recommend consulting your CPA for property-specific recapture planning.

## Sensitivity Considerations


The following factors may materially affect component classification and allocation results. This section is provided to assist the taxpayer and their tax advisor in evaluating the study results in context.

Factor	Potential Impact
Detailed contractor invoices available	May refine or increase 5-year personal property allocations based on actual costs
Major renovations or improvements	Increases short-life property share; renovated components may qualify for accelerated treatment
Higher-end or custom finishes	Shifts allocation toward personal property; premium finishes increase 5-year component values
Limited FF&E documentation	May reduce supportability of personal property allocations under examination
Property condition differs from age-based assumptions	Significant deferred maintenance or recent upgrades may shift allocation proportions
Furnished vs unfurnished status	FF&E allocations assume hospitality-grade furnishing; unfurnished units would reduce 5-year property

The allocations presented represent a reasonable estimate based on available data and standard cost segregation practices. Taxpayers with additional documentation (invoices, contractor records, renovation receipts) may provide this information for potential refinement of specific component allocations.

# 9. Methodology & Basis of Analysis

CSS-WP-400



*This study applies an engineering cost approach consistent with IRS Cost Segregation Audit Techniques Guide methodology.*

*Cost estimates were developed using construction cost data, public records, and taxpayer-provided information, consistent with IRS cost segregation guidance.*

## Cost Approach Methodology

This cost segregation study employs an engineering cost approach using construction cost data, public records, and taxpayer-provided information, consistent with the principles outlined in the IRS Cost Segregation Audit Techniques Guide (revised 2022), Chapter 7.3 (Detailed Engineering Cost Approach). This methodology is the same general approach used by national engineering and accounting firms performing cost segregation studies.

No physical site inspection was conducted for this study. Property observations were derived from remote data sources as described below. The IRS Cost Segregation Audit Techniques Guide does not require a physical site inspection; it requires a defensible engineering methodology and detailed documentation of cost derivations. This study satisfies those requirements through calibrated construction cost databases, verified property characteristics, and component-level cost allocation with source references.

## Data Sources & Observation Methodology

Property observations were derived from aerial imagery, street-level photography, county assessor records, property listing data, taxpayer-provided inputs, and third-party API data sources. Engineering cost estimates were developed using industry-standard construction cost references (RSMeans, BLS Producer Price Index) and observed property characteristics derived from these sources. The IRS ATG recognizes that cost estimates may be developed from available records, construction cost databases, and property characteristics observable through public data.

Sources consulted include:

- County assessor and parcel records — assessed values, land/improvement ratios, property characteristics, and building descriptions

- Aerial and street-level imagery — building footprint, exterior materials, site improvements, and observable property condition
- Property listing and transaction data — features, finishes, renovation history, and comparable property characteristics
- Third-party property data APIs — building metadata, year built verification, square footage confirmation, and geospatial attributes
- Industry construction cost databases — national and regional cost benchmarks by building type, quality tier, and geographic location
- IRS classification guidance — Revenue Procedure 87-56, IRC §§168, 1245, 1250, and the Cost Segregation Audit Techniques Guide

### Cost Allocation Summary by Category

The following table summarizes the primary categories of property components identified and the basis used to allocate costs.

Component Category	Recovery	Allocation Basis
Furniture, Fixtures & Equipment (FF&E)	5 years	Hospitality-grade furnishings, electronics, linens, kitchen smallwares, and decorative items per Rev. Proc. 87-56 Asset Class 00.11
Interior Finishes & Fixtures	5 years	Removable cabinetry, decorative lighting, floor coverings, window treatments, appliances, and specialty electrical/plumbing components not structurally integrated
Land Improvements	15 years	Exterior improvements including driveways, walkways, landscaping, fencing, retaining walls, and site drainage per Rev. Proc. 87-56 asset class 00.3
<b>Building Structure</b>	27.5 years	Remaining structural building components — foundation, framing, roofing, exterior walls, core mechanical/electrical/plumbing systems

### Component Extraction Framework

The study applies a systematic component extraction process: (1) identification of all building systems and sub-systems from property characteristics, public records, and taxpayer-provided information; (2) classification of each component under IRC §1245 (tangible personal property), IRC §1250 (real property and land improvements), and Revenue Procedure 87-56 asset classes; (3) estimation of Replacement Cost New (RCN) for each component using industry-standard cost databases adjusted by BLS PPI time index; (4) physical depreciation / remaining life is tracked per component for context (allocation is based on RCN); and (5) reconciliation of total component costs to the taxpayer's actual depreciable basis.

### Cost Reference Databases

Component cost estimates are derived from and cross-referenced against the following industry-standard construction cost databases and references:

- Industry construction cost databases — national construction cost benchmarks by building type, quality tier, and geographic region
- Marshall Valuation Service (CoreLogic) — replacement cost estimation and depreciation schedules for commercial and residential property
- Bureau of Labor Statistics Producer Price Index (PPI) — construction cost time index applied to adjust base cost schedules from anchor date to current period
- IRS Cost Segregation Audit Techniques Guide — component percentage allocation guidelines and classification criteria (Chapters 6-8)

Regional cost adjustments are applied using public price-level indices to account for geographic variation in labor and material costs. Quality tier adjustments reflect the property's value per square foot relative to regional construction cost averages. A BLS Producer Price Index (PPI) time adjustment inflates base cost schedules from their anchor date to the current period. This report should be reviewed by the taxpayer's CPA or qualified tax professional prior to filing.

### Replacement Cost New (RCN) Derivation Workflow

The following table summarizes the five-step derivation from base replacement cost to final allocated depreciable basis for this property:

Step	Description	Factor	Result
1	Modeled Replacement Cost New (all components)	\$246.73/SF	\$690,843
2	Market Reconciliation Factor	× 0.99	<b>\$685,900</b>

**Note:** The final allocated basis equals the property's depreciable basis (purchase price less land value). Component-level RCN estimates are calibrated to the taxpayer's actual cost basis using cost approach normalization.

Replacement Cost New (RCN) estimates were developed using industry construction cost databases. Each component retains the same proportional share of total basis. The aggregate modeled cost is normalized to equal the taxpayer's actual depreciable basis, consistent with cost approach methodology described in the IRS Cost Segregation Audit Techniques Guide (ATG).

### Land Value Allocation

Land allocation of 31.4% was determined using metro-area statistical average for Scottsdale, AZ, consistent with county assessor median land-to-improvement ratios for residential properties in this market. This ratio was adjusted for the property's price-per-square-foot relative to the local market. The taxpayer should verify this allocation against the county tax assessor's appraisal breakdown, purchase contract allocation, or independent

appraisal if available, as these sources would supersede this statistical estimate.

This allocation is consistent with the cost approach methodology described in the IRS Cost Segregation Audit Techniques Guide (ATG), which permits the use of assessor data and market-derived inputs when direct land valuation data is not available.

### Cost Estimation Sources & Databases

Component costs are derived from a proprietary cost database calibrated against industry-standard construction cost references including Marshall Valuation Service cost tables, RS Means Square Foot Cost Data, and publicly available construction cost indices published by the Bureau of Labor Statistics. Regional cost multipliers are based on RS Means City Cost Index data adjusted for local construction market conditions. Quality tier adjustments reflect property value per square foot relative to regional averages.

### Square Footage Allocation & Reconciliation

Base component costs are estimated on a per-square-foot basis using the property's gross building area. This method is consistent with the residual cost approach recognized by the IRS Cost Segregation Audit Techniques Guide (Chapter 7.3), which identifies per-unit cost estimation as an acceptable methodology for cost segregation analyses. Component costs are calibrated against RSMeans Square Foot Cost Data and Marshall Valuation Service cost tables for the applicable building type and quality tier.

Metric	Value
Gross Building Area	2,800 SF
Purchase Price	\$1,000,000
Land Value Allocation	\$314,100 (31.4%)
<b>Depreciable Basis</b>	<b>\$685,900</b>
<b>Implied Cost per SF</b>	<b>\$244.96/SF</b>
Accelerated Reclassification per SF	\$64.40/SF
27.5-Year Property per SF	\$180.57/SF

### Cost Calculation Methodology

For each building component, the following calculation methodology is applied:

**Step 1 - Base Cost Estimation:** Component costs are estimated on a per-square-foot basis using construction cost data appropriate for the property type, construction class, and quality tier.

**Step 2 - Regional Adjustment:** Base costs are adjusted using regional cost multipliers that reflect local construction costs relative to the national average.

**Step 3 - Indirect Costs:** A 25% indirect cost factor is applied to account for contractor overhead, profit, architectural and engineering fees, and other soft costs. Industry studies indicate residential indirect costs

range between 20%–30%, consistent with RSMeans contractor markup benchmarks and IRS ATG guidelines for cost segregation.

**Step 4 - Remaining Life (Informational):** Physical depreciation and remaining useful life factors are tracked per component for context. However, allocation is based on Replacement Cost New (RCN) — remaining life factors are not applied to component costs pre-reconciliation. This approach avoids compressing modeled costs for older properties and ensures the reconciliation scalar remains a minor alignment rather than a corrective adjustment.

**Step 5 - Premium/Discount Reconciliation:** A reconciliation scalar is applied to ensure total RCN-based component costs equal the property's actual depreciable basis (purchase price less land value). The estimated replacement cost new (RCN) closely aligns with the property's depreciable basis, indicating consistency between the cost model and actual market value.

## Asset Classification Criteria

Each component is classified into the appropriate MACRS recovery period based on IRS guidelines, Revenue Rulings, and relevant Tax Court decisions. Classification criteria include the six-factor functional interdependence test established in *Whiteco Industries v. Commissioner* (65 T.C. 664):

**(1)** Whether the property is capable of being moved without damage to the building; **(2)** Whether the property is designed for permanent installation; **(3)** Whether there are permanent connections to utility systems; **(4)** Whether the property is designed for a specific purpose; **(5)** Whether removal would cause damage to the property or the building; **(6)** The weight and size of the property relative to the building.

Components meeting the functional test for personal property under Treas. Reg. 1.48-1(e)(1) are classified as 5-year or 7-year MACRS property per Rev. Proc. 87-56. Land improvements are classified under IRS Asset Class 00.3 (15-year recovery). Structural components integral to the building are classified as real property under IRC §1250 with a recovery period of 27.5 years (residential rental).

## Placed-in-Service & Depreciation Eligibility

This property was placed in service on 2025-06-15 for purposes of IRC §167 and §168. Based on the placed-in-service year of 2025, eligible reclassified assets may qualify for bonus depreciation under IRC §168(k) under current federal tax law.

This property is classified as residential rental property under IRC §168(e)(2)(A), with structural components assigned a 27.5-year recovery period under MACRS. The property must be held for use in a trade or business or for the production of income (IRC §167(a)) and must be 'placed in service' — available and ready for its intended use. For short-term rental properties, the taxpayer must materially participate in the rental activity or qualify under the real estate professional exception to claim current-year depreciation deductions against ordinary income.

## 10. Legal Authority for Asset Classification

This cost segregation study was performed using an engineering cost approach consistent with the guidance outlined in the IRS Cost Segregation Audit Techniques Guide. Asset classifications were established based on the following authorities:

Authority	Description
<b>IRC §168</b>	Modified Accelerated Cost Recovery System (MACRS) — establishes recovery periods for tangible depreciable property
<b>IRC §1245</b>	Tangible personal property classification — property not inherently permanent or structural in nature
<b>IRC §1250</b>	Real property classification — structural components and land improvements
<b>Rev. Proc. 87-56</b>	Asset class recovery periods — assigns MACRS lives by asset class (e.g., 00.11 Office Furniture, 00.3 Land Improvements)
<b>IRC §168(k)</b>	Bonus depreciation — allows first-year deduction of qualifying property placed in service in the applicable tax year
<b>Pub. L. 119-21</b>	2025 federal tax legislation — amended IRC §168(k) to permanently restore 100% bonus depreciation for qualifying property placed in service on or after January 19, 2025, terminating the prior 2023–2026 phase-down schedule.
<b>Treas. Reg. §1.48-1(e)(1)</b>	Defines the functional interdependence test for distinguishing personal property from structural components
<b>IRS ATG (2022)</b>	Cost Segregation Audit Techniques Guide — IRS reference for reviewing cost segregation studies, including methodology standards and quality elements

Assets classified as **5-year property** represent tangible personal property that is not structural in nature, including removable fixtures, appliances, cabinetry, decorative finishes, and specialty electrical and plumbing components.

Assets classified as **15-year property** represent land improvements such as landscaping, walkways, paving, fencing, and site drainage consistent with Rev. Proc. 87-56 asset class 00.3.

All remaining building components are depreciated as **27.5-year residential rental property** under MACRS.

*This report is intended to support the taxpayer's depreciation treatment and may be provided to tax advisors or taxing authorities upon request.*

# 11. Schedule for Fixed Asset Ledger Entry

CSS-WP-500

*This schedule can be entered directly into your CPA's tax preparation software — no manual reclassification required.*

The following schedule is provided for direct entry into the taxpayer's fixed asset ledger or tax preparation software. Each line item represents a reclassified asset with the applicable MACRS method, convention, recovery period, and allocated cost.

Asset Description	Method	Conv.	Life	PIS Date	Cost Basis
Bedroom Furniture (Beds, Dressers, Nightstands)	200DB	HY	5 yr	2025-06-15	\$21,474
Living Area Furniture (Sofas, Tables, Chairs)	200DB	HY	5 yr	2025-06-15	\$18,051
Carpet & Pad	200DB	HY	5 yr	2025-06-15	\$12,210
Appliances	200DB	HY	5 yr	2025-06-15	\$11,097
Televisions & Electronics	200DB	HY	5 yr	2025-06-15	\$8,855
Light Fixtures	200DB	HY	5 yr	2025-06-15	\$7,831
Outdoor Furniture & Accessories	200DB	HY	5 yr	2025-06-15	\$7,317
Vinyl/Laminate Flooring	200DB	HY	5 yr	2025-06-15	\$6,424
Removable Kitchen Fixtures	200DB	HY	5 yr	2025-06-15	\$5,237
Linens, Bedding & Towels	200DB	HY	5 yr	2025-06-15	\$5,051
Kitchen Smallwares & Cookware	200DB	HY	5 yr	2025-06-15	\$4,602
Dining Furniture	200DB	HY	5 yr	2025-06-15	\$4,376
Bathroom Accessories & Fixtures	200DB	HY	5 yr	2025-06-15	\$3,007
Window Treatments	200DB	HY	5 yr	2025-06-15	\$2,720
Removable Laminate Surfaces	200DB	HY	5 yr	2025-06-15	\$2,311
Closet Shelving	200DB	HY	5 yr	2025-06-15	\$2,272
Door Hardware & Accessories	200DB	HY	5 yr	2025-06-15	\$2,012
Ceiling Fans	200DB	HY	5 yr	2025-06-15	\$1,934
Specialty Electrical	200DB	HY	5 yr	2025-06-15	\$1,638
Removable Plumbing Trim	200DB	HY	5 yr	2025-06-15	\$1,430
Decorative Millwork	200DB	HY	5 yr	2025-06-15	\$1,334

Bathroom Hardware	200DB	HY	5 yr	2025-06-15	\$1,177
Kitchen Hood & Ventilation	200DB	HY	5 yr	2025-06-15	\$885
Smoke/CO Detectors	200DB	HY	5 yr	2025-06-15	\$488
Decorative Items & Artwork	200DB	HY	7 yr	2025-06-15	\$3,436
Concrete Paving & Walks	150DB	HY	15 yr	2025-06-15	\$9,824
Wood Deck/Porch	150DB	HY	15 yr	2025-06-15	\$7,570
Landscaping	150DB	HY	15 yr	2025-06-15	\$6,820
Fencing	150DB	HY	15 yr	2025-06-15	\$5,848
Asphalt Paving	150DB	HY	15 yr	2025-06-15	\$3,649
Exterior Lighting	150DB	HY	15 yr	2025-06-15	\$2,483
Storm Drainage & Grading	150DB	HY	15 yr	2025-06-15	\$2,433
Irrigation System	150DB	HY	15 yr	2025-06-15	\$2,136
Retaining Walls	150DB	HY	15 yr	2025-06-15	\$1,908
Mailbox & Site Accessories	150DB	HY	15 yr	2025-06-15	\$475
<b>Remaining 27.5-Year Real Property</b>	SL	MM	27.5 yr	2025-06-15	<b>\$505,586</b>
<b>TOTAL DEPRECIABLE BASIS</b>					<b>\$685,900</b>

**Legend:** 200DB = 200% Declining Balance; 150DB = 150% Declining Balance; SL = Straight-Line; HY = Half-Year Convention; MM = Mid-Month Convention; PIS = Placed in Service.

**Note:** Assets with recovery periods of 20 years or less qualify for 100% bonus depreciation under IRC §168(k). Apply bonus depreciation before entering the remaining basis into MACRS schedules.

**CPA Filing Note**

Most CPAs incorporate the results of this study into the taxpayer's return by entering the asset schedule above directly into their depreciation software (Lacerte, ProSeries, Drake, UltraTax, or equivalent) and applying the bonus depreciation calculation to qualifying assets. For lookback studies where the property was placed in service in a prior year, the report includes Form 3115 catch-up calculations so the CPA can file a change of accounting method. If the taxpayer's CPA has questions about this report, they may contact us directly at support@costsegsmart.com.

## CPA Review Considerations

---

The following items are provided for review by the taxpayer's CPA or tax advisor:

- **Form 3115 (Lookback Study) — if applicable:** This property was placed in service in 2025. **If the taxpayer has already filed the 2025 federal return without the benefit of this study**, accelerated depreciation can still be claimed via Form 3115 (Application for Change in Accounting Method) with the current-year return; the Section 481(a) adjustment captures all prior-year missed depreciation in a single year. **If the 2025 return is still open** (not yet filed, or on extension), the accelerated depreciation can be claimed directly on Form 4562 with that return — no Form 3115 needed.
- **Post-Acquisition Improvements:** Capital improvements placed in service after the original acquisition date should be evaluated separately for depreciation treatment. These may qualify for bonus depreciation or repair expense treatment under applicable regulations.
- **Passive Activity Limitations:** Accelerated depreciation deductions may be subject to passive activity loss rules under IRC §469. The taxpayer's ability to utilize these deductions depends on their participation level and other income sources.
- **State Tax Conformity:** State-level conformity to federal bonus depreciation rules should be reviewed for AZ. Not all states conform to federal bonus depreciation provisions.
- **Bonus Depreciation:** The applicable bonus depreciation rate should be confirmed with the taxpayer's tax advisor based on the placed-in-service year and current federal tax law.

This study provides the asset classification framework; final tax treatment should be confirmed based on the taxpayer's specific filing position.

## 12. Conclusion

---

Based on the analysis performed, it is our opinion that the subject property at **10280 E Via Linda, Scottsdale, AZ 85258** contains identifiable components that qualify for accelerated depreciation under applicable federal tax law.

Using an engineering cost approach, this study allocated **\$180,314** of the property's **\$685,900** depreciable basis to shorter recovery periods, including 5-year, 7-year, and 15-year property classifications, in accordance with the Internal Revenue Code and supporting authority. All allocations reconcile to the total depreciable basis of the property.

Under applicable bonus depreciation provisions (IRC §168(k)), the full **\$180,314** in reclassified assets may be deductible in the year placed in service, subject to the taxpayer's individual tax circumstances.

The allocations presented represent a reasonable and supportable classification of property components, consistent with IRS guidance, applicable case law, and standard cost segregation practices.

This report is intended to assist the taxpayer and their tax advisor in calculating depreciation deductions, preparing federal income tax filings (including Form 4562), and supporting accounting positions related to asset classification. This report may be relied upon by the taxpayer and their tax advisor for tax reporting purposes. Final tax treatment should be determined in consultation with a qualified tax professional.

**Audit Support.** In the event of an IRS inquiry, Cost Seg Smart will provide supplementary documentation, cost derivation workpapers, and methodology explanations to support the classifications and allocations in this report at no additional cost.

*Prepared by Cost Seg Smart*

*Study Date: May 16, 2026*

# A. Appendix A — Cost Derivation Summary

CSS-WP-510

The following table summarizes the cost derivation methodology applied to each major component category. Replacement Cost New (RCN) estimates are adjusted for regional factors, PPI time index, and market reconciliation to equal the property's actual depreciable basis. Physical depreciation / remaining life is tracked per component for context; allocation is based on RCN.

Category	RCN (Pre-Recon)	Avg RLF (Info)	Recon. Factor	Final Allocated Basis
5-Year Personal Property	\$134,695	0.33	0.99	<b>\$133,731</b>
7-Year Personal Property	\$3,460	0.50	0.99	<b>\$3,436</b>
15-Year Land Improvements	\$43,458	0.67	0.99	<b>\$43,147</b>
27.5-Year Real Property	\$509,229	0.82	0.99	<b>\$505,586</b>
<b>Total Depreciable Basis</b>	<b>\$690,843</b>	—	0.99	<b>\$685,900</b>

**Location Cost Index:** 0.95 (state level — Scottsdale, AZ). Applied to modeled RCN to reflect local construction cost conditions.

**Reconciliation:** Component-level RCN estimates are normalized to the property's depreciable basis so that all allocated costs collectively equal the recorded acquisition price less land value. Remaining life factors (RLF) are shown for transparency but are not applied to component costs pre-reconciliation.

## Land Allocation Analysis

The IRS Cost Segregation ATG (Chapter 4) requires that cost segregation studies clearly identify and support the land allocation. The following methods were considered in determining the land value for this property:

Method	Result	Description	Weight
<b>A.1 County Tax Assessor Allocation</b>	N/A	County assessor data not available for this property. When available, assessor allocations provide a recognized IRS-accepted benchmark per ATG Chapter 4.	Not Available
<b>A.2 Statistical Market Analysis</b>	31.4%	Metro-area land ratio analysis based on median land-to-value ratios for the Scottsdale, AZ submarket, calibrated against comparable property sales data and construction cost indices.	Primary
<b>A.3 Replacement Cost Residual</b>	31.4%	Purchase price less estimated replacement cost of improvements (Marshall Valuation Service / RSMMeans). The residual represents the implied land value under the cost approach.	Corroborating

<p><b>A.4 Comparable Sales Analysis</b></p>	<p>—</p>	<p>Vacant land sales in the immediate submarket would provide direct market evidence. This method is referenced for completeness but was not independently performed for this study.</p>	<p>Not Performed</p>
<p><b>A.5 Purchase Agreement Allocation</b></p>	<p>—</p>	<p>If the purchase contract or HUD-1/ALTA settlement statement contains a land/improvement allocation, that buyer-seller negotiated split may be used. Not provided for this study.</p>	<p>Not Provided</p>
<p><b>A.6 Adopted Land Allocation</b></p>	<p><b>31.4%</b></p>	<p>Based on the statistical market analysis as the primary method, corroborated by replacement cost residual analysis. Land value of \$314,100 (31.4% of purchase price) is adopted for this study.</p>	<p><b>Adopted</b></p>

SAMPLE REPORT

## B. Appendix B — IRS ATG Quality Elements

CSS-WP-600

The IRS Cost Segregation Audit Techniques Guide identifies 13 principal elements of a quality cost segregation study. This report addresses each element as follows:

ATG Element	How Addressed
<b>1. Preparation by individuals with expertise</b>	Engineering-informed methodology using industry-standard cost databases and IRS classification criteria.
<b>2. Detailed description of methodology</b>	Section 9 — Methodology & Basis of Analysis describes the cost approach, data sources, and reconciliation process.
<b>3. Use of appropriate documentation</b>	Property details, construction data, regional cost factors, and county assessor records are incorporated.
<b>4. Interviews &amp; site inspection alternatives</b>	Property photos and detailed intake questionnaire substitute for physical site inspection, consistent with ATG guidance for desk reviews.
<b>5. Use of actual cost records</b>	Where available, purchase records and assessor data supplement engineering cost estimates.
<b>6. Determination of unit costs</b>	Per-square-foot cost estimation using RSMeans and Marshall Valuation Service references.
<b>7. Identification of section 1245 property</b>	Components are individually classified under Treas. Reg. 1.48-1 and the Whiteco six-factor functional test.
<b>8. Identification of section 1250 property</b>	Land improvements classified under IRS Asset Class 00.3 with 15-year recovery per Rev. Proc. 87-56.
<b>9. Identification of land/land improvements</b>	Land allocation based on statistical metro-level data consistent with county assessor records.
<b>10. Accuracy of computations</b>	All allocations reconcile to depreciable basis. Depreciation schedules verified computationally.
<b>11. Support for conclusions</b>	Engineering narratives, IRS citations, and Whiteco functional analysis provided for each category.
<b>12. Overall reasonableness</b>	Acceleration percentages consistent with IRS audit benchmarks for property type and construction class.
<b>13. Preparation or review by qualified professional</b>	Report should be reviewed by the taxpayer's CPA or qualified tax professional prior to filing.

## C. Appendix C — Revenue Procedure 87-56 & IRC Framework

CSS-WP-610

This cost segregation study is prepared in accordance with the following Internal Revenue Code sections, Treasury Regulations, and IRS guidance:

Reference	Application
<b>IRC §167</b>	Depreciation deduction for property used in trade/business or production of income.
<b>IRC §168</b>	Modified Accelerated Cost Recovery System (MACRS) — recovery periods by asset class.
<b>IRC §168(e)(2)(A)</b>	Residential rental property: 80%+ gross income from dwelling units. Recovery: 27.5 years.
<b>IRC §168(e)(2)(B)</b>	Nonresidential real property. Recovery: 39 years.
<b>IRC §168(k)</b>	Bonus depreciation — rates vary by placed-in-service year. Confirm applicable rate with tax advisor.
<b>IRC §1245</b>	Personal property: accelerated depreciation subject to ordinary income recapture.
<b>IRC §1250</b>	Real property: structural components subject to unrecaptured §1250 gain (25%).
<b>Treas. Reg. §1.48-1(e)(1)</b>	Tangible personal property: all tangible property except land, land improvements, buildings, and structural components.
<b>Treas. Reg. §1.1250-1(e)(2)</b>	Building: any structure enclosing a space within walls and covered by a roof.
<b>Rev. Rul. 68-4</b>	Criteria for structural component vs. tangible personal property classification.
<b>Rev. Proc. 87-56</b>	Class lives and recovery periods for depreciable assets under MACRS.
<b>IRS ATG (Rev. 2022)</b>	Cost Segregation Audit Techniques Guide — 13 elements of a quality study.

## D. Appendix D — Case Law & IRS Rulings

CSS-WP-620

The following court decisions and IRS rulings establish the legal framework for component classification in cost segregation studies:

Case / Ruling	Citation	Significance
<b>Hospital Corp. of America v. Commissioner</b>	109 T.C. 21 (1997)	Established that items which are affixed to a building may still constitute personal property if they serve the taxpayer's business activity rather than the building itself.
<b>Whiteco Industries v. Commissioner</b>	65 T.C. 664 (1975)	Established the six-factor test for determining whether property is a structural component or tangible personal property: (1) manner of affixation, (2) whether designed to be permanently affixed, (3) damage upon removal, (4) function of the property, (5) relationship to building operation, (6) intent at installation.
<b>Scott Paper Co. v. Commissioner</b>	74 T.C. 137 (1980)	Reinforced that the 'intent at time of installation' is a relevant factor in determining whether property is personal or structural.
<b>Morrison Inc. v. Commissioner</b>	T.C. Memo 1986-129	Applied the Whiteco factors to restaurant properties, finding that decorative items, specialty lighting, and removable kitchen equipment qualify as personal property.
<b>Meiers Parking System Inc. v. Commissioner</b>	T.C. Memo 1991-18	Addressed the classification of site improvements and their treatment as land improvements with a 15-year recovery period.
<b>IRS Cost Segregation ATG, Chapter 3</b>	Rev. 2022	Provides detailed guidance on the engineering approach to cost segregation, including acceptable methodologies (detailed engineering, residual estimation, sampling/modeling) and the 13 principal elements of a quality study.

## E. Appendix E — Audit Documentation & Support

---

This section provides guidance for audit documentation preparedness and documentation retention in support of the cost segregation reclassifications contained in this study.

### Workpaper Retention

Cost Seg Smart maintains complete workpapers for this study, including component-level cost calculations, classification rationale, and reconciliation documentation. These workpapers are retained for the applicable IRS statute of limitations period (generally three years from the filing date, or six years if gross income is understated by more than 25%). The taxpayer should retain a copy of this report and all supporting documentation for the same period.

### Component-Level Allocation Methodology

Each component in this study has been individually identified, classified, and costed using the detailed engineering cost approach. This methodology satisfies the IRS requirement for component-level analysis as described in the Cost Segregation Audit Techniques Guide (ATG), Chapter 4. The study provides:

- Individual component identification with IRS asset class assignment
- Cost estimation per component using industry-standard cost databases
- Classification rationale citing IRC sections, Treasury Regulations, and case law
- Reconciliation of modeled costs to actual taxpayer basis
- IRS asset class assignment with supporting legal citations for each classification

### IRS ATG Compliance Affirmation

This cost segregation study has been prepared in compliance with the 13 principal elements of a quality cost segregation study as identified in the IRS Cost Segregation Audit Techniques Guide (ATG), Chapter 4.4. These elements include: preparation by an individual with appropriate expertise; use of appropriate documentation and methodology; identification of the property analyzed; description of the analytical approach; determination of all direct and indirect costs; identification and classification of each asset; an explanation of the legal analysis; and a clear summary of allocations and conclusions.

### Recordkeeping Recommendations

To support audit defensibility, the taxpayer should maintain the following documentation in addition to this report:

- Purchase agreement and closing statement (HUD-1 / ALTA settlement statement)
- Property photographs documenting building systems and site improvements
- Construction plans, specifications, or renovation invoices (if available)

- County tax assessor records showing land and improvement values
- Any independent appraisal or environmental reports
- Insurance policy declarations page showing replacement cost estimates

For questions regarding audit documentation or support, contact Cost Seg Smart at [support@costsegsmart.com](mailto:support@costsegsmart.com).

SAMPLE REPORT

## 7. NPV Analysis (Illustrative Only)

CSS-WP-310

*This section is provided for illustrative purposes only and is not part of the cost segregation allocation. Actual tax outcomes depend on the taxpayer's specific circumstances, income, and applicable tax rates.*

*Accelerating deductions into earlier years increases their present value — a dollar of tax savings today is worth more than one spread over decades.*

The following analysis compares the net present value (NPV) of depreciation benefit under traditional straight-line depreciation versus accelerated depreciation through cost segregation. The discount rate used is 5.0%, which represents a conservative opportunity cost of capital.

Cost segregation does not change the total depreciation allowed over the life of the property. It accelerates deductions into earlier years, increasing the present value of the associated tax savings.

Scenario	NPV of Tax Savings from Depreciation
Without Cost Segregation (Straight-Line)	\$131,915
<b>With Cost Segregation + Bonus Depreciation</b>	<b>\$160,776</b>
<b>NPV Benefit of Cost Segregation</b>	<b>\$28,860</b>

**Assumptions:** Discount rate: 5.0% | Marginal tax rate: 37% (illustrative — actual rate depends on taxpayer's specific situation) | 100% bonus depreciation applied to eligible 5-year and 15-year property (per IRC §168(k) for placed-in-service year) | Half-year convention applied to first and last years of all MACRS schedules. This analysis does not account for passive activity loss limitations, depreciation recapture, or state tax variations.

## F. Appendix F — Exhibits & Supporting Documentation

CSS-WP-700

The following exhibits and supporting documentation are maintained for audit documentation purposes.

### Property Photo Documentation

Exterior photographs (front, rear, and side elevations), interior photographs (kitchen, bathrooms, living areas, mechanical equipment), and photographs of specific features claimed in this study (pool, deck, landscaping, etc.) should be maintained in the taxpayer's records.

*Property photographs should be included as a separate exhibit. Minimum recommended: 6-10 photos covering all building elevations and key interior spaces.*

### Audit Documentation Checklist

Document	Status
Purchase/closing documentation (HUD-1 or settlement statement)	Recommended
Property tax assessment showing land/improvement split	Recommended
Property photographs (exterior and interior)	Required
This cost segregation study report	Required
IRS Form 3115 (if lookback study — change in accounting method)	If applicable
MACRS depreciation schedules (provided in this report)	Included
Component classification support (engineering narratives)	Included
IRS legal citations and regulatory framework	Included
Entity formation and ownership documentation	Recommended
Loan documents and financing records	Optional
Prior appraisal or inspection reports	Optional
Floor plans or architectural drawings	Optional

## G. Appendix G — Disclaimers & Limitations

CSS-WP-800

---

**Professional Review:** This cost segregation study was prepared in accordance with the engineering cost approach outlined in the IRS Cost Segregation Audit Techniques Guide. Component classifications follow established IRS guidance, Treasury Regulations, and relevant Tax Court precedent. This report should be reviewed by a licensed Certified Public Accountant (CPA) or qualified tax professional prior to filing to ensure compatibility with the taxpayer's specific tax situation, including passive activity limitations, at-risk rules, and state conformity considerations.

**Scope of Services:** This report is provided for tax preparation and planning purposes. Cost Seg Smart provides engineering cost allocation analysis using proprietary cost databases and IRS-compliant classification methodology. This report does not constitute tax advice, legal advice, or accounting advice. The taxpayer's CPA or tax professional should apply these findings to the taxpayer's specific return.

**CPA Review Note:** This report is intended to assist the taxpayer and their tax advisor in determining appropriate depreciation treatment under applicable tax law. The allocations presented represent engineering cost estimates derived from construction cost references and applicable IRS guidance. Final tax treatment, including the decision to claim accelerated depreciation and the application of passive activity rules, remains at the sole discretion of the taxpayer's qualified tax professional.

**Accuracy of Information:** The accuracy of this study depends on the completeness and accuracy of the property information provided by the user. Cost Seg Smart makes no warranty regarding the accuracy of estimated component costs, as actual costs may vary based on specific construction details, materials, and conditions not captured in the standard input process.

**IRS Audit Risk:** While cost segregation is a well-established tax strategy recognized by the IRS, any depreciation deduction may be subject to IRS examination. The IRS may challenge the classification of specific components. Users should maintain supporting documentation including purchase agreements, inspection reports, and photographs for audit documentation.

**Bonus Depreciation:** This study applies 100% bonus depreciation, the rate in effect for the placed-in-service year shown on the cover. Historical §168(k) rates: 100% (2017–2022), 80% (2023), 60% (2024), 100% (2025 forward under current federal tax law). The §168(k) rate is set by statute and may change in future legislation; verify the applicable rate with your tax professional at the time of filing.

**State Tax Considerations:** Some states do not conform to federal bonus depreciation provisions. State depreciation deductions may differ from the federal amounts shown in this report. Consult your CPA regarding state-specific rules.

---

This report was prepared by Cost Seg Smart using engineering cost allocation methodology. For questions regarding this study, please contact [support@costsegsmart.com](mailto:support@costsegsmart.com).

Report generated: May 16, 2026 at 05:15 PM